

JIMMIE STEPHEN

(Name)

P. O. BOX 8101

(Address)

San Luis Obispo, CA

(City, State, Zip)

93409-8101C56483

(CDC Inmate No.)

FILED

JUL 1 2008

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY DEPUTY

AMENDED

FILED #15..

"PRATT V. RAWLSON"
769 F. SUPP 1128 (N.D. Cal.)**United States District Court**
Southern District of CaliforniaJIMMIE STEPHEN

(Enter full name of plaintiff in this action.)

Plaintiff,

v.

"BRAVO"ET AL. REGENOMTE

(Enter full name of each defendant in this action.)

Defendant(s).

CJ-08-0749-BTM

Civil Case No.

(AJB)

(To be supplied by Court Clerk)

Complaint under the
Civil Rights Act
42 U.S.C. § 1983**A. Jurisdiction**

Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3) and 42 U.S.C. § 1983. If you wish to assert jurisdiction under different or additional authority, list them below.

18 USC 242, 1961-68 "CONSPIRACY", 28 USC 1915-G "IMMEDIATE DANGER"
28 USC 1367. EXCEPTION.**B. Parties**

1. **Plaintiff:** This complaint alleges that the civil rights of Plaintiff, JIMMIE STEPHEN
(print Plaintiff's name)
C56483, who presently resides at CMC San Luis Obispo
(mailing address or place of confinement)
CALIFORNIA - P.O. BOX 8101.., were violated by the actions
of the below named individuals. The actions were directed against Plaintiff at ET ANODON
an d CMC.. on (dates) 3-26-04, 8-9-05, and 3-27-07.
(institution/place where violation occurred) (Count 1) (Count 2) (Count 3)

"FARROW V. WEST" 320 F.3d 1235-6 (11th 2003). IMMEDIATE
DANGER EXCEPTION for denial reviews for 15 months..

on (date or dates) 9-29-06 (Claim I), 10-4-06 (Claim II), 5-26-04 (Claim III)

NOTE: You need not name more than one defendant or allege more than one claim. If you are naming more than five (5) defendants, make a copy of this page to provide the information for additional defendants.

1. Defendant C.O. "BRAND" resides or works at
 (full name of first defendant)
480 Alta Rd - San Diego (California) 92179
 (full address of first defendant)
"COAR"
 (defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: ON 9-29-06
AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CALIF. DOMESTIC "BRAND"
WILLFULLY "ASSAULTED" PLAINTIFF WHILE SITTING IN CHAIR IN LAW LIBRARY..

2. Defendant C.O. "MC CURNY" resides or works at
 (full name of first defendant)
480 Alta Rd - San Diego (California) 92179
 (full address of first defendant)
"CURNY"
 (defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: ON 9-29-06
AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CALIF. DOMESTIC "MC CURNY"
"PARTICIPATED" IN THE "ASSAULT" AND DESTROYED "LEGAL DOCUMENTS" & "GLASSES"

3. Defendant Sgt "ARMENTA" resides or works at
 (full name of first defendant)
480 Alta Rd - San Diego (California) 92179
 (full address of first defendant)
"SARGENT"
 (defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: ON 9-29-06
AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CALIF. DOMESTIC "ARMENTA"
WILLFULLY "LEAD" IS "CONTROLLED" COARDS OF 9-29-06 "ASSAULT" ETC.. AS WELL
AS "PARTICIPATED" IN CONCERT WITH COARDS AND "DESTROYED" PLAINTIFF "LEGAL"
"DOCUMENT" OVER 200 PAGES AND "DESTROYED" EYEGLASSES TAKING 7 MONTHS TO REPLACE..
"WILLFULLY."

4. Defendant LTA "Simon" resides or works at _____
(full name of first defendant)
480 Alta Rd San Diego California 92179
(full address of first defendant)
"LEGAL TECHNICIAN LIBRARIAN"
(defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: ON 9-29-06
AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CALR "DONOVAN" "SIMON"
PARTICIPATED IN "ASSAULT" BY "WITHHOLDING STATEMENTS" OF THIS CRIME..

5. Defendant M. "Belton" (fwd # 19..) resides or works at _____
(full name of first defendant)
480 Alta Rd San Diego California
(full address of first defendant)
"COACH"
(defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: ON 8-9-05
AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CALR "DONOVAN" "BELTON" A
"VICTIM", PLAINIFF, "LAST KNOWN EMPLOYMENT" LOST HER "JOB WRONGFULLY"
BASED ON "FALSE EVIDENCE" INCLUDING PLAINIFF OF 8-9-05 ORGONE..

10. Defendant "Dr. RITTER" RESIDES "SACRAMENTO" CALIFORNIA AND IS
 EMPLOYED AS "CMD" AT DONOVAN.. SUE IN "INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL"
 CAPACITY AS STATE EMPLOYEE.. UNDER COLOR OF LAW.. CALR..
DIRECTOR OF COLLECTIONS
P. O. BOX 942883
SACRAMENTO CALIFORNIA
94283-0001

"RITTER" FAILED TO WARN OF "SERIOUS" DISEASES AT DONOVAN AND
CONTAMINATED "VENTILATION" SYSTEM FROM 9-16-03 TO 3-27-07..
AS "FAILED TO PROTECT" WARN FROM CONTAGIOUS DISEASES AT DONOVAN OR
PREVENT "TB" OUTBREAKS PLAINIFF CONTACTED "TB" GERMS. VIRUS OF 5-10-05..
AS PLAINIFF STILL SUFFER FROM PERSISTENT COUGH AND "FLUID IN LUNGS"
SINCE ARRIVAL AT CALR OF 3-27-07 AND NUMEROUS COLDS, SICKNESS, ASTHMA..

~~IMMEDIATE NOTICE~~ EXTENSION
DEJAL "NEJAL"

on (date or dates) 5-1-05 (Claim I), 5-8-07 (Claim II), 5-20-08 (Claim III)

NOTE: You need not name more than one defendant or allege more than one claim. If you are naming more than five (5) defendants, make a copy of this page to provide the information for additional defendants.

1. Defendant DR "HOXIE" resides or works at
 (full name of first defendant)
CML- P.O. Box 8101-San Luis Obispo Calif. 93409
 (full address of first defendant)
"DENTIST"
 (defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: from 3-27-07 onwards
AS AN EMPLOYEE OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA CML "CML" HOXIE
WILLFULLY DENIED, DEPRIVED "ADEQUATE" DENTAL "NO" X-RAYS ONLY.

2. Defendant DR "MILLARD" resides or works at
 (full name of first defendant)
480 ALTA RD-San Diego California 92179
 (full address of first defendant)
"DENTIST"
 (defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: from 5-1-05 to 3-27-07
AS AN EMPLOYEE OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA CML "DONOVAN"
"MILLARD" WILLFULLY DENIED, DEPRIVED "ADEQUATE" DENTAL "TEETH" X-RAY ONLY.

3. Defendant DR "LEE" resides or works at
 (full name of first defendant)
480 ALTA RD-San Diego California 92179
 (full address of first defendant)
"DENTIST"
 (defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: from 5-1-05 to 3-27-07
AS AN EMPLOYEE OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA CML "DONOVAN" UNDER
"LEE" WILLFULLY "DELAYED" "DENIED" UNDER "GUISE" OF "WAITING LIST" ALL
"X-RAYS" DONE ONLY.

"ISSUES" # 1-22.. "33" DEFENDANTS TOTAL.
ONE # 1-24..

4. Defendant DR "ANTIQUE" resides or works at _____
 (full name of first defendant)
480 Alta Rd - San Diego California 92179
 (full address of first defendant)
DENTIST
 (defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: from 2-8-07 to 3-27-07
AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CACL DONOVAN "MITCHELL" WILLFULLY
ALLOWED PLAINTIFF TO BE "TRANSFERRED" BEFORE FIXING "TEETH" PARTIALS "X-RAYS"
OUT.

5. Defendant DR "FIRMSEAL" resides or works at _____
 (full name of first defendant)
480 Alta Rd - San Diego California 92179
 (full address of first defendant)
DENTIST
 (defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: from 5-1-06 to 3-27-07
AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CACL DONOVAN "FIRMSEAL" (X-RAYS)
WILLFULLY "PARTIALS" IN DEPRIVAL OF "TEETH" PARTIALS ETC. WILLFULLY.
AS "MILLARD LEE" ANTIQUE "FIRMSEAL" WILLFULLY PARTICIPATED IN
CONCERT FROM 5-1-05 TO 3-27-07 "DENIAL" OF "TEETH" PARTIALS
"NEEDLES" WHOM TOOK "X-RAYS" UNDER COUSE OF "PARTIALS" WITH
OTHER TREATS TO "NEED" DELAY "TEETH" WHENEVER PLAINTIFF HAS NO
RIGHT-BACK TEETH TO EAT ON WHENEVER "MUST" CHWEN ON "ONE"
"LEFT BACK TOOTH" WHENEVER AT TIMES CAUSING "PAIN" "SORENESS"
"SWELLING" "WEIGHT LOSS" AND WAS "DESTROYED" REBUILT AND THEN
"CROWNED" OF EMERGENCY BUT "NO PARTIALS" ONLY "X-RAYS" AS
"PAIN AND SUFFERING" ONGOING AS OF 5-20-08 ONGOING.

PLAINTIFF WILLFULLY "TRANSFERRED" AT 3-27-07 TO DEPRIVE OF
ACCESS TO COURT UNDER "28 USC 1915. 3-STRIKE KNOWING BY
WARRICK "HERNANDEZ" AND DEFENDANTS EMPLOYEES AT DONOVAN.
WITH KNOWLEDGE OF "TRANSDONATE MEDICAL DENTAL ETC.
"ONGOING" 5-20-08. PATTERN OF MISCONDUCT.

on (date or dates) 3-27-07 (Claim I), 5-26-04 (Claim II)

NOTE: You need not name more than one defendant or allege more than one claim. If you are naming more than five (5) defendants, make a copy of this page to provide the information for additional defendants.

1. Defendant WARDEN "MARSHAL" resides or works at
(full name of first defendant)
P.O. Box 8101-San Luis Obispo California 93409
(full address of first defendant)
"WARDEN" CMC
(defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: from 3-27-07 ongoing "ARREST"
AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCL. CMC. MARSHAL WROTE
POLICE CUSTOM PRACTICE FOR "DELAYED MEDICAL DENTAL TREATMENT" WILLFULLY

2. Defendant WARDEN "HERNANDEZ" resides or works at
(full name of first defendant)
480 AHA RD-San Diego California 92179
(full address of first defendant)
"WARDEN" J. Donovan
(defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: from 5-26-04 to 3-27-07
AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCL. "Donovan" WILLFULLY DENIED
"ORDERED" "DELAYED DENTAL TEETH" AS "CIVIL Punishment" "SELF-GAIN" ETC.
ALL RIGHTS DENIED, DEPRIVED.

3. Defendant D.C. "CASTILLO" resides or works at
(full name of first defendant)
P.O. Box 8101-San Luis Obispo California 93409
(full address of first defendant)
"GUARD"
(defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: ON 6-30-07
AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCL. "Donovan" "CASTILLO"
WILLFULLY CONFISCATED Plaintiff "CIVIL RIGHTS" DOCUMENTS AS "RACIST" ETC.
WHICH WILLFULLY "DESTROYED DOCUMENTS" WITH RIGHT TO SEARCH HOME ETC. THE PROCESS.

4. Defendant C. "CORNELIUS" resides or works at
(full name of first defendant)
P. O. BOX 8101 - San Luis Obispo CALIFORNIA 93409
(full address of first defendant)
SUPERVISOR "EDUCATION"
(defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: on 6-6-07
AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CML "CMC" "CORNELIUS" REFUSED
WILLFULLY "WRITE" "FAKE WRITE-UP" NOT PARTICIPATING IN "EDUCATION" HAS "DIPLOMA"
IN 1972..

5. Defendant P. "KELLY" resides or works at
(full name of first defendant)
P. O. BOX 8101 - San Luis Obispo CALIFORNIA 93409
(full address of first defendant)
"SUPERVISOR" "PIA" "CMC"
(defendant's position and title, if any)

The defendant is sued in his/her (Check one or both): ☒ individual ☒ official capacity.

Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: from 3-27-07 to 1-15-08
AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CML "CMC" "PAUL KELLY"
WILLFULLY DENIED PLAINTIFF "PIA" JOB WHEN WORKED "PIA" ON "NON-ADVERSE"
TRANSFER - "NON-DISCIPLINARY" AT DONORON. PERSONALLY BY CREDENCE DATED
1-15-08. AS KNOWLEDGE OF "ARSON" KNOWN ONLY BY COUNSELOR "OSHIRO"
WHOM PARTICIPATED IN DENIAL OF "PIA" AT CML FOR "LEGAL PURPOSES".
WHOM ALSO HAS PARTICIPATION WITH ATTORNEY GENERAL AND
COMPLETE "LEGAL FILINGS" AND "E-FILE" AS TO "OSHIRO".
"EVIDENCE IN PLAINTIFF CAMP".

2. Defendants: (Attach same information on additional pages if you are naming more than 4 defendants.)

Defendant D. "BROWN" resides in San Diego (California)
 (name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a "PIA" SUPERVISOR. This defendant is sued in
 (defendant's position/title (if any))
 his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting
 under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL SERVICE. DONOR ON
AS "BROWN" FAILED TO PROTECT FROM CONTINUOUS DISTRESS "TB"
IN "PIA LAUNDRY" FROM 5-1-04 TO 5-1-05.

Defendant DA "RAMOS" resides in San Diego (California)
 (name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a "DOCTOR ASSISTANT". This defendant is sued in
 (defendant's position/title (if any))
 his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting
 under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL SERVICE. DONOR ON
AS "RAMOS" REFUSED TO "X-RAY" BACK PAINS WHEN REQUESTED
OR NECESSARY "PAIN MEDICATIONS" OF 9-29-06 ASSAULT ON 10-4-06 ETC..

Defendant C/O "ESPINOZA" resides in San Diego (California)
 (name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a "GUARD". This defendant is sued in
 (defendant's position/title (if any))
 his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting
 under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL SERVICE. DONOR ON
AS "ESPINOZA" ON 11-27-05 "FILED FALSE CHARGES" OF "THREATING"
HIS LIFE" AS PLAINTIFF SPENT "45" DAYS "SEGREGATION".

Defendant C/O "CLOCK" resides in San Diego (California)
 (name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a "GUARD". This defendant is sued in
 (defendant's position/title (if any))
 his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting
 under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL SERVICE. DONOR ON
AS "CLOCK" WITNESSED EVENTS OF 11-27-05 "FALSE CHARGES" AND
REFUSED TO PROTECT FROM INJURY, SEGREGATION, BY TELLING TRUTH.
PLAINTIFF EVENTUALLY FOUND "NOT GUILTY" OF 11-27-05 "INCIDENT". AS WELL
AS INCIDENT OF 8-9-05 AND 9-29-06. "NOT GUILTY".

2. Defendants: (Attach same information on additional pages if you are naming more than 4 defendants.)

Defendant Dr. "Bromerich" resides in San Diego CALIFORNIA
(name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a "Doctor"
(defendant's position/title (if any)) This defendant is sued in

his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCR DONOR
AS "BROMERICH" DENIED "MEDICATIONS" OF "LOVASTATIN" FOR SERIOUS
MEDICAL CHOLESTEROL FROM 11-27-95 TO 1-13-96. WILLFULLY..

Defendant Sgt "Pittman" resides in San Diego CALIFORNIA
(name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a "SARGENT"
(defendant's position/title (if any)) This defendant is sued in

his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCR DONOR
AS "PITTMAN" WILLFULLY "ORDERED" WRITE-UP OF 10-4-06 AS "RETRIBUTION"
TO "CONFISCATE PERSONAL PROPERTY" OF 9-29-06 "ASSAULT" AS "RETRIBUTION"

Defendant C/O "Soliano" resides in San Diego CALIFORNIA
(name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a "GUARD"
(defendant's position/title (if any)) This defendant is sued in

his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCR DONOR
AS "SOLIANO" WROTE "FALSE WRITE-UP" OF 10-4-06 UNDER GROUSE
OF "NO CHOICE OF CELLMATE" O.D.#85 USED NOT "O.A.L" APPROVED.

Defendant Lt. "Sanchez" resides in San Diego CALIFORNIA
(name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a "LIEUTENANT"
(defendant's position/title (if any)) This defendant is sued in

his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCR DONOR
AS "SANCHEZ" APPROVED "FALSE WRITE-UP" OF 10-4-06 WHEN KNOWING
WRITE-UP "FAKE" AND O.D.#85 "INVALID".. AS "RETRIBUTION" ..

2. Defendants: (Attach same information on additional pages if you are naming more than 4 defendants.)

Defendant A. "Cota" resides in San Diego California,
(name) (County of residence)
and is employed as a "Captain". This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))

his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting

under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCR AT
DONOVAN REFUSED TO "TIMELY ANSWER" GRIEVANCES BY "COTA"
FROM 5-26-04 TO 3-27-07 ONGOING. AS RETALIATION WILLFULLY

Defendant E. A. "Contreras" resides in San Diego California,
(name) (County of residence)
and is employed as a ARST "Warden". This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))

his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting

under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCR
AT DONOVAN. REFUSAL TO ORDER "APPEAL COTA" TO INSURE WILLFULLY
GRIEVANCES DENIED DUE PROCESS FROM 5-26-04 TO 3-27-07 ONGOING

Defendant Sgt "Munoz" resides in San Diego California,
(name) (County of residence)
and is employed as a "Sergeant". This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))

his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting

under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCR AT
DONOVAN. "REFUSED TO ANSWER APPEALS" TIMELY BY "MUNOZ" WILLFULLY
FROM 5-26-04 TO 3-27-07 ONGOING. AS "RETALIATION"

Defendant Sgt "Clarke" resides in San Diego California,
(name) (County of residence)
and is employed as a "Sergeant Lieutenant". This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))

his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting

under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCR AT
DONOVAN. "CLARKE" WILLFULLY "SEGREGATED" PLAINTIFF ON "FALSE CHARGES"
ALLEGED ADDRESSED TO "MISS BELTON" BUT "SUPPRESSED LETTER" ON 8-9-05
TO 3-27-07 ONGOING

Defendant M. "Grannis" resides in SACRAMENTO CALIFORNIA
AND IS EMPLOYED AS A "APPEAL DIRECTOR" THIS DEFENDANT SUED IN
INDIVIDUAL AND OFFICIAL CAPACITY UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW AS
AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. SACRAMENTO.

"GRANNIS" REFUSED TO ORDER DEFENDANT TO ANSWER GRIEVANCES
FROM 5-26-04 TO 3-27-07 ONGOING. 5-20-08 WILLFULLY

2. Defendants: (Attach same information on additional pages if you are naming more than 4 defendants.)

Defendant MR. OSHIRO resides in SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA
(name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a "COUNSELOR". This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))

his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting

under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCLC CMC..

"OSHIRO" WILLFULLY "PARTICIPATED" IN DENIAL OF "DIA" AS KATEND OF
CIVIL CHRIS ELL. of 3-27-07 ONGOING AND "RETRIBUTORY" WRITE-UP of 6-6-07..

Defendant J. MCNEIL resides in SAN DIEGO CALIFORNIA
(name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a SUPERVISOR MAILROOM. This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))

his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting

under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCLC AT

DOWNUM.. "MCNEIL" WILLFULLY WITHHELD "LEGAL MAIL" OF PLAINTIFF AND
FABRICATED DOCUMENT. of 11-27-04 to 3-27-07, up to 139 DAYS 80 LETTERS.

Defendant MR. MCNEIL resides in SAN LUIS OBISPO CALIFORNIA
(name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a "SUPERVISOR MAILROOM". This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))

his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting

under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA CCLC OF

CMC.. "MCNEIL" WILLFULLY WITHHELD, DESTROYED "LEGAL MAGAZINES"
FROM 5-1-07. ONGOING WHEN LANSUIT AGAINST CCLC BY "PLN"..

Defendant DIRECTOR WOODFORD resides in SACRAMENTO CALIFORNIA
(name) (County of residence)
 and is employed as a DIRECTOR CCL. This defendant is sued in
(defendant's position/title (if any))

his/her ☒ individual ☒ official capacity. (Check one or both.) Explain how this defendant was acting

under color of law: AS AN EMPLOYEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO

CALIFORNIA CCLC "WOODFORD" REFUSED TO "ORDER" TIMELY HEARING
OF "GRIEVANCES" WHEN REQUESTED BY PLAINTIFF. FROM 5-26-04 ONGOING

AS WHEN "HERNANDEZ" RETENED/RECEIVED "4" GRIEVANCES
FROM 5-26-04 BUT "COTA", MURDOZ, REFUSED TO "ANSWER" WILLFULLY.

"CONSPIRACY"

C. Causes of Action (You may attach additional pages alleging other causes of action and the facts supporting them if necessary.)

Count 1: The following civil right has been violated: IMMEDIATE DANGER EXCEPTION

PATTERN OF MISCONDUCT MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE (E.g., right to medical care, access to courts, due process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of association, freedom from cruel and unusual punishment, etc.) DELIBERATE INTENT RECKLESS NEGLIGENCE

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to Count 1. State what happened clearly and in your own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be certain to describe exactly what each defendant, by name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 1.]

1. Defendant "Hoxie" has willfully delayed dental by denial of "parrots" or "teeth" since 3-27-07. WHEREAS DELAY CAUSED BY "OVERCROWDING".

Prior to arrival at CMC from Donnan Defendants "ANTHONY", "LEE", "FRIMSEAL" and MILLARD in concert willfully denied delayed "parrots" dental since 5-1-05.

Acts by Defendants are ongoing deliberate and willful "CONSPIRACY" with INTENT. INCLUDING "GUM SORENESS" "SWELLING" "WEIGHT LOSS" "MISSED MEALS" "PAIN" and "SUFFERING" AS PLAINTIFF WILLFULLY DENIED "PARROTS" FOR OVER "36 MONTHS" AS UNDER GUARD Hoxie, LEE, MILLARD, FRIMSEAL and ANTHONY DID "X-RAYS" but DENIED "NEUTURES" willfully. PLAINTIFF NARRATED PRIORITE #2 AS OVERCROWDING HAS DELAYED "PARROTS" SINCE 5-1-05. Ongoing.. All Defendants DENIED, DELAYED "PARROTS" in concert on SEPARATE OCCASIONS.

"CONSPIRACY" for 1st Amendment

Right of Access to Courts.

2. On 8-9-05 PLAINTIFF was subjected to an ongoing and willful CONSPIRACY by "REPRISAL", WHEREAS PLAINTIFF was FALSELY ACCUSED of STALKING M. BELTON and "SERIALIZED" for "75 DAYS" VIOLATING ALL DUE PROCESS WHEREAS DISMISSED in UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6-2-08 OVER 3 YEARS LATER. PLAINTIFF SPENT 75 DAYS FALSELY by "Sgt CLARKE" ongoing as on 11-27-05 SERIALIZED MAIN on "FALSE CHARGES" by C/O "ESPINOZA" SPENT "45" DAYS in SERIALIZATION for 1st Amendment Right to Access..

"Next Page"

"HINES" V GOMEZ" 108 F3D 265..(9TH 1997).. "1--CRIMINATION"..
 C. Causes of Action (You may attach additional pages alleging other causes of action and the facts supporting them if necessary.) "WOLFF V MCDONNELL" 418 U.S. 539..(1969)..

Count 4 The following civil right has been violated: "RETALIATION""DUE PROCESS"

"WILLFUL" (E.g., right to medical care, access to courts,
 "DENIAL OF ACCESS TO COURTS""SIGNIFICANT HARDSHIP IN SEGREGATION"..
 due process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of association, freedom from cruel and unusual punishment,
 etc.) 1ST, 5TH, 8TH AND 14TH AS WELL AS 6TH IN "LEGAL MAIL""WITHOLDING"
 "TAMPERING" ECT..UP TO "139" DAYS "WITHHELD"..ACTS "WILLFUL""MALICIOUS"...

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to Count 1. State what happened clearly and in your own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be certain to describe exactly what each defendant, by name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 1.]

3 ON 8-9-05 PLAINTIFF WAS "WILLFULLY""MALICIOUSLY""SEGREGATED"
 BY "DEFENDANT CLARKE" A DEFENDANT IN "ONGOING" "CIVIL RIGHTS"
 LAWSUIT FOR "RETALIATION" ECT..CASE # GIC-84-0064 AS CASE WAS "DIS-
 MISSED" ON 8-19-05 WHILE PLAINTIFF WAS IN "SEGREGATION" "WITHOUT"
 "ANY LEGAL DOCUMENTS" TO "FILE OPPOSITION" "DUE" 8-19-05..

PLAINTIFF WAS ALLEGED "SEGREGATED" ON 8-9-05 FOR AN ALLEGED
 "LETTER" THAT WAS ALLEGED "SENT" BY PLAINTIFF STEPHEN TO "MISS"
 "BELTON" AN EMPLOYEE OF RJ DONOVAN..PLAINTIFF DENIED HE HAD SENT
 THIS LETTER OR ANY OTHER LETTER TO MISS "BELTON"..TO JEOPARDIZE
 HER JOB..PLAINTIFF STAYED IN "SEGREGATION" FOR "75" DAYS..

WHILE IN "SEGREGATION" FROM 8-9-05 TO 10-23-05..BUT UPON PLAIN-
 TIFF "APPEAL" "ALL CHARGES DISMISSED" AND "DUE PROCESS" FINDINGS
 ALLOWED CHARGES TO BE "RECALLED" AS "CHARGES, OR WRITE UP" WAS TO BE
 "RE-WRITTEN" BUT IT HAS NOT AS OF THIS DATE.."DUE PROCESS VIOLATED"
 AS TO THE ALLEGED "LETTER" "NEVER GIVEN" TO PLAINTIFF BUT USED TO
 CONVICT OF 9-15-05 A TO FINDINGS OF "GUILTY" AND "60" DAYS "LOSS"
 OF "GOOD TIME WORK TIME"..AS WELL AS RIGHTS AND PRIVILEGES..

AS RJ DONOVAN "SEGREGATION" "HOLDS" UP TO "600" PRISONERS IN-
 WHICH ARE "MOSTLY MENTAL PATIENTS" ENABLE TO CORRESPOND OR UNDER-
 STAND "REALITY" OR THEIR "RIGHTS""DUE PROCESS" OR "RIGHTS TO CALL"
 "WITNESSES" IN THEIR BEHALF..THIS IS BEING DENIED DEPRIVED..WITH
 MALICE AFORETHOUGHT UNDER "HERNANDEZ" AND "EMPLOYEES"..

AS "SEGREGATION" "MANDATES" "22" "GUARDS PER "24" HOUR TO
 "OPERATE" WHEREAS "REGULAR" BUILDINGS "MANDATE ONLY""7½"..THEREBY
 "CREATING A SHORTAGE" OF "GUARDS" ON "MAINLINE" TO "JUSTIFY" THE
 "OUTRAGEOUS""OVERTIME" "PAYED" TO "GUARDS"..AD SEG" HAS "3" BUILDINGS
 WITH "200" PRISONER PER BUILDING..ENOUGH "PERSONNEL" TO "MAN""9"BLDGS..
 OR "2" YARDS.."SHORTAGE" IS "DELIBERATE" TO "EXTORT" MONIES FROM THE
 STATE OF CALIFORNIA..ACTS BY DEFENDANTS SERVES NO PENELOGICAL PURPOSE..

144

#4

C. Causes of Action (You may attach additional pages alleging other causes of action and the facts supporting them if necessary.)

Count 1 - The following civil right has been violated: "RIGHT TO FILE GRIEVANCE"...
(E.g., right to medical care, access to courts,

"RIGHT TO COMPLETION OF GRIEVANCE ONCE FILED"... 1ST 5TH & 14TH...
due process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of association, freedom from cruel and unusual punishment,
etc.) "WILLFUL" "MALICIOUS"...

"ACCESS TO COURT"... "DUE PROCESS"... "FREE SPEECH"...

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to Count 1. State what happened clearly and in your own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be certain to describe exactly what each defendant, by name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 1.]

(1) ON 10-25-04 PLAINTIFF STEPHEN WAS "RETALIATED" BY DEFENDANT
"MUNOZ" INWHICH HE FORWARDED PLAINTIFF "GRIEVANCE" TO SECURITY
SQUAD "SGT CLARKE"... AGAINST THE "FAMILIATY" POLICY UNDER "3400"
"3401"... INWHICH ACTS AS A "CODE OF SILENCE"... OF 5-26-04, WILLFULLY

(2) PLAINTIFF WAS TOLD BY "SGT CLARKE" TO "GIVE NAME" OF THE
ALLEGED "VICTIM" THAT PLAINTIFF HAD WROTE ABOUT ON THE ALLEGED
"APPEAL" WILLFUL... AS "CLARKE" KNEW PLAINTIFF HAD BEEN IN SAME
OFFICE ON 5-17-04 FOR THE ALLEGED "FAMILIATY" AGAINST "MISS BELTON"
WHEN PLAINTIFF REFUSED TO GIVE "NAME" "CLARKE" DID WILLFULLY DID
"THREATEN" PLAINTIFF WITH "SEGREGATION" IF NAME WASNT TOLD...

(3) DEFENDANTS "COTA" AND "MUNOZ" AS "APPEALS COORDINATORS" DID
MALICIOUSLY "REFUSE" TO "LOG" "APPEALS HERE AT R.J. DONOVAN AS A
"PRACTICE" "CUSTOM" "POLICY"... UNDER DIRECTIONS OF "HERNANDEZ"... AIDED
BY "CONTRERAS" AS "PROGRAM WARDEN"... AS "DIRECTOR WOODFORD" IS "RES-
PONSIBLE" FOR COMPLETE COMPLIANCE WITH "RULES" "REGULATIONS" AS WAS
DENIED, DEPRIVED BY PLAINTIFF... SINCE 5-26-04 "ONGOING"... "WILLFULLY"...

(4) AS PLAINTIFF LAWSUIT WAS "DISMISSED" FOR "NON COMPLIANCE"
WITH THE "EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES"... BY "COTA" AND "MUNOZ"... INWHICH
WAS "FILED" 12-13-04 AND "DISMISSED" 8-19-05... ALMOST "2" YEARS
"WITHOUT " "EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES" UNDER "HERNANDEZ"... AS THE APPEALS
OFFICE IN SACRAMENTO UNDER "GRANNIS" UNDER "DIRECTIONS" OF "WOODFORD"
REFUSED TO "INTERVENE"... "ACCESS TO COURT DENIED, HINDERED"... AS WELL
AS "1ST AMENDMENT RIGHTS TO "FREE SPEECH"...

AS "ACTS BE DEFENDANTS WERE "WILLFUL" "MALICIOUS"...

PLAINTIFF JIMMIE STEPHEN, STATE A complaint he prepared was dismissed," and he was "sostymied" by Defendants' actions or grievance processing that "he was unable to ever file a complaint," direct appeal or petition for writ of habeas corpus. *Lewis*, 518 U.S. at 351;

Christopher, 536 U.S. at 416 /

15

Count 2: The following civil right has been violated: "RIGHTS TO DUE PROCESS" IN
 (E.g., right to medical care, access to courts,
THE "GRIEVANCE PROCESS"... "ACCESS TO COURT"... 1ST 5TH & 14TH...
 due process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of association, freedom from cruel and unusual punishment,
 etc.) "TIMELY ACCESS"... "FREE SPEECH"... "RETALIATION"...
"WILLFUL" "MALICIOUS"...

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to Count 2. State what happened clearly and in your own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be certain to describe exactly what each defendant, by name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 2.]

(5) PLAINTIFF "RIGHTS TO GRIEVANCES WERE "DENIED" "DEPRIVED" WITH
MALICE AFORETHOUGHT BY DEFENDANTS "COTA" AND "MUNOZ" BY FAILING
TO "ALLOW DUE PROCESS" ON SUBMITTED "GRIEVANCES" WHEN SUBMITTED
FROM 5-26-04... UNDER "DIRECTIONS" "CONTROL OF "HERNANDEZ" "AIDED"
BY "WOODFORD" WHEN "CONTACTED" BY LETTER IN SACRAMENTO..

(A) "GRIEVANCE" AS TO "2933" P.C. CREDITS FROM 6-6-89 TO 8-16-97..
AS PLAINTIFF "DID NOT" "REFUSE TO "WORK" FOR "CREDITS"... FOR
A "TOTAL OF 8 YEARS" "WORTH OF DUE CREDITS" "OFF SENTENCE"...
OF "18" YEARS UNDER THE "DETERMINATE SENTENCE LAW"... OF 5-26-04..

(B) "GRIEVANCE" AS TO "MONTHLY "TRUST STATEMENTS" AND RIGHTS TO
KNOW HOW MUCH "MONIES" IS TAKEN BY "MANDATORY" "RESTITUTION"
IS DENIED FROM 9-16-03 "ONGOING"... SINCE 6-10-04..

"GRIEVANCE" AS TO THE "CONFISCATION" OF "ANY AND ALL MONIES"
FROM 6-10-04 FOR "ACCESS TO COURT" AND "HYGIENE" BASED UPON
LAWS FORBIDDING CONFISCATION OF MONIES UNDER \$46.00 DOLLARS..

"GRIEVANCE" AS TO "ONGOING INTEREST" ON "MONIES HELD BY THE
"TRUST OFFICE" IN "ACCOUNTS" ECT.. SINCE 9-16-03.. AND 6-10-04..

C. "GRIEVANCE" FROM 9-16-03 FOR "TIMELY ACCESS TO OPTOMETRIST"
FOR "STRONGER" "PRESCRIPTION EYEGLASSES"... "WITH INJURIES"...

D. "FAMIALITY" POLICY UNDER "3400" "3401" IN WHICH ACTS AS A "WILLFUL"
"CODE OF SILENCE"...

"DEFENDANT CONTRERAS" IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY AND ALL
"PROGRAMS" "MOVEMENT" AT RJ DONOVAN BY AUTHORITY OF HERNANDEZ
WHOM UNDER CONTROL OF "DIRECTOR WOODFORD" CONTROLS ALL DAY TO
DAY POLICIES, CUSTOMS PRACTICES.. INCLUDING "APPEALS" "GRIEVANCES"
UNDER "1ST AMENDMENT" AND "ACCESS TO COURT" "DUE PROCESS" ECT..
"ACTS BY DEFENDANTS SERVED NO PENELOGICAL PURPOSE"...

Plaintiff

inability to meet a filing deadline or to present a claim.
dismissed.

Count ~~1~~ The following civil right has been violated: "RETALIATION" "DUE PROCESS" ..

(E.g., right to medical care, access to courts,

"VICTIMS RIGHTS" .. "ACCESS TO COURT" ECT.. 1ST 5TH & 14TH..

due process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of association, freedom from cruel and unusual punishment, etc.) "ACTS BY DEFENDANTS WERE "WILLFUL" "MALICIOUS" .. "ILLEGAL FIRING" ..

"EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAW" .. "UNLAWFUL INVESTIGATION" ..

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to Count 3. State what happened clearly and in your own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be certain to describe exactly what each defendant, by name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 3.]

- (6) PLAINTIFF "BELTON" WAS "RETALIATED" BY "DEFENDANTS "CLARKE" "WILLFUL" OF "INCIDENCE OF 10-25-04" INWHICH "MISS BELTON" "WAS" AND "IS" AN "INNOCENT VICTIM" IN THIS MATTER BASED UPON AN "ALLEGED LETTER" OF 5-17-04.. "ALLEGED WRITTEN BY PLAINTIFF STEPHEN" .. AS "VICTIM" "BELTON" HAS "SUFFERED INJURIES OF LOSS OF EMPLOYMENT" ECT.. UNDER "DIRECT" "AUTHORITY OF "HERNANDEZ" .. AS AFTER "INCIDENCE" OF 5-17-04 DEFENDANTS "ORDERED" PLAINTIFF "NOT TO TALK WITH MISS BELTON" BUT EVERYWHERE PLAINTIFF WENT "MISS BELTON" WAS THERE "WILLFUL" "MALICIOUS"

- (7) ON 8-27-04 PLAINTIFF "WROTE" AN "APPEAL AGAINST THE POLICY" AS TO "FAMIALITY" UNDER "3400" "3401" AND "MISS BELTON" WAS MOVED MYSTERIOUSLY "10" DAYS LATER.. EVEN THOUGH HER NAME WAS "NOT" ON THE AFOREMENTIONED "APPEAL" .. BUT ON 10-25-04 "DEFENDANT CLARKE" MALICIOUSLY QUESTIONED PLAINTIFF ABOUT THIS APPEAL OF 8-27-04.. AND REQUESTED "NAME OF PERSON PLAINTIFF FAMILIAR" WITH.. EVEN THOUGH "WRITTEN REPORT" OF 5-17-04 "EXISTED" .. AS APPEAL WAS SENT TO "CLARKE" BY "MUNOZ" .. AND FURTHER "RETALIATED" TO "BELTON" ..

"PLAINTIFF VICTIM BELTON" "RELOCATED TO "NEW YORK" .. AS A "DEFENDANT" " "CONSPIRING ON PLAINTIFF STEPHEN" OR A "PLAINTIFF" "NOT KNOWING" OF "INVESTIGATION" "CONSPIRACY" BY "CLARKE" ..

AS PLAINTIFF STATES THE "FAMIALITY" POLICY ACTS AS A "CODE" OF "SILENCE" .. INWHICH HAS LED TO THE "MURDER" OF OVER "70" OR MORE PRISONERS SINCE 1985.. AS THE POLICY CUSTOM OF "WOODFORD" AS WELL AS "HERNANDEZ" IS "KILL A PRISONER AND GET PROMOTED" BUT TO "KISS A GUARD AND YOU ARE GUARANTEED TO GET FIRED" Wrongfully

ON 8-9-05 PLAINTIFF STEPHEN WAS "ACCUSED" OF "FAMILY" UPON "MISS BELTON" AGAIN AND SPENT "75" DAYS IN "SEGREGATION" AS CASE WAS "DISMISSED" .. BUT "BELTON" "RETALIATED" AGAINST AGAIN" AS WELL AS "LOST OF EMPLOYMENT" AT "RJ DONOVAN" .. BY "CLARKE" .. defendant "acted in a discriminatory manner and that the discrimination was intentional."

C. Causes of Action (You may attach additional pages alleging other causes of action and the facts supporting them if necessary.)

Count 1: The following civil right has been violated: "Right to Medical" for "Serious"

"Conditions Pattern" of Misconduct ^{(E.g., right to medical care, access to courts,}
~~IMMEDIATE DANGER EXCEPTION~~
 due process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of association, freedom from cruel and unusual punishment, etc.)

28 USC 1915

1st, 5th, 8th & 14th

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to Count 1. State what happened clearly and in your own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be certain to describe exactly what each defendant, by name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 1.]

1. Nurses were "Deliberate Intention" "Reckless" as
as to "Immediate" "Delayed" and "Denied" Medications for Doctor
Prescribed "Serious Medical Condition" of High "Cholesterol" from
11-27-05 to 1-13-06 by "Dr Bromerich" while in "segregation".
on "false charges" by "Esparanza" charges "dismissed" at 11-27-05.

2. Plaintiff based upon "willful" "overcrowding" under "warmed"
"Hernandez" failed to protect failed to warn of "High Risk" to
"Tuberculosis" based upon "prior outbreaks" at Don Juan. as well
as "CMO Rutter" in charge of "infectious needles" and not
to "protect, warn, and safeguards" Plaintiff "Health and Safety"
as Don Juan lies close to "Mexican Border" stated nurse of 5-1-05.

3. Dr "Lamos" of 9-29-06 "intention" on 10-4-06 willfully
refused to "X-RAY" Plaintiff "Back" but prescribed "mild pain"
pills from the "assault" of 9-29-06. as he was willful
and "discriminate" is "cover-up" for guards "assault and battery"
while Plaintiff "sat in chair". Showing "Deliberate Intention"
"Reckless Negligence" while Plaintiff willfully "thrown" to "floor"
as "X-Rays" requested by Plaintiff of 10-4-06. Injury "Serious"
or "Immediate Pain Medications" for "Back Pains" "Side Injury" etc
"Arley J Milworth" 147 F3d 715 (8th 1998) "Serious Medical"
Immediate Danger Exception" under 28 USC 1915.

("Next Page")

"Hudson v McMillan" 112 S.Ct. 995 (1995) NO "Serious Injury" Necessary
in use of Unnecessary Force

28 USC 1361
18 USC 242

16

"NEGLIGENT"...

1 16.. "FAILING TO PROTECT" .. DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE" .. "RECKLESS DIS-
2 REGARD" .. "CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT" .. "DUE PROCESS" .. "LIBERTY
3 INTEREST" ..
4 IST 5TH 8TH & 14TH..

5 PLAINTIFF STEPHEN WAS "EXPOSED" TO THE "TUBERCULOSIS" GERM"
6 "VIRUS" WHILE UNDER "HERNANDEZ" RESPONSIBILITY OF 9-16-03 AND WAS
7 "DIAGNOSED" 5-1-05.. AS A "RESULT OF POOR SANITATION" BY "HOUSING"
8 WITH "INFECTED CELLMATES" "POOR SANITATION FAILING TO WARN, PROTECT"
9 IN "LAUNDRY AS "P.I.A." "WORKER" UNDER SUPERVISION OF "TOGAFU" AS
10 WELL AS "BROWN" UNDER AUTHORITY SUPERVISION OF "KADIWALA" .. AS "CMO"
11 "RITTER" "FAILED TO PROTECT" "FAILED TO WARN" .. "NEGLIGENTLY" ..

12 AS "HERNANDEZ" AS WARDEN OF RJ DONOVAN "WILLFULLY" "NEGLIGENT"
13 BY "OVERCROWDING" ALLOWED THESE ACTS OF "COMMUNICABLE DISEASES" TO
14 "INFECT" PLAINTIFF WERE "DELIBERATE" "RECKLESS" .. AS "PRIOR" OUT-
15 BREAKS HAVE OCCURED AT DONOVAN..

16 AS "RITTER" "HERNANDEZ" "BROWN" "TOGAFU" "KADIWALA" "FAILED TO
17 WARN" "FAILED TO PROTECT" .. THEREBY CAUSING "ONGOING INJURY" AAS ON-
18 GOING "THREATS" OF "LIVER DAMAGE" FROM THE "HIGHLY TOXIC" DRUG TA-
19 KEN FOR "6" MONTHS AFTER DIAGNOSIS.. "NEGLIGENT" ..

20 FURTHER ACTS OF "WILLFUL NEGLIGENCE" OF 3-9-07 PLAINTIFF WAS
21 "RE-EXPOSED" TO THE "T.B." GERM BY BLDG # 5 "MEDICAL STAFF" WHEN
22 GIVING "ANNUAL T.B. TESTS" WHEN "X-RAYS MUST BE GIVEN" ..

23 PLAINTIFF "INJURIES" EXISTED "PRIOR" TO DIAGNOSIS OF 5-1-05
24 AS "CHRONIC COUGH EXISTED" OF 8-1-04.. AS "SIGNIFICANT RISK EXISTED"
25 BASED UPON "OVERCROWDING" AND "INEFFECTIVE MEDICAL STAFF" AAS NOW
26 UNDER "FEDERAL MEDIATION CONTROL" .. BECAUSE OF "INADEQUATE" STAFF,
27 TRAINING ECT.. BY "NEGLIGENCE" ..

**"NEGLIGENT" ..
"EXPOSURE OF LAUNDRY"**

28 ON OR ABOUT 9-14-06 PLAINTIFF WAS WILLFULLY "DEPRIVED" OF
NECESSARY "HYGIENE" ACCESS IN "P.I.A. LAUNDRY" AS "KADIWALA" DID
"WILLFULLY" DISALLOW "SHOWERS" IN "CONTAMINATED LAUNDRY" KNOWN TO
HOUSE "DEADLY CONTAGIOUS VIRUSES" AS "SHOWERS" ALLOWED FOR "SOIL"
SORT WORKERS "ONLY" WHEN "SOIL SORT" WORKERS HAVE FREE REIGN OF
"ENTIRE LAUNDRY" INFECTING ANYONE IN CONTACT" .. AS "THE "T.B" GERM"
CAN TEST POSITIVE ON THE "SKIN" .. AS "SHOWERS" DENIALS OKED BY THE
SUPERVISORS "BROWN" AND "TOGAFU" .. AS DEFENDANTS "FAILED TO PROTECT"
AND "FAILED TO WARN" ..

WARDEN "ARMOSKUS" "COWAN" "HAWTHORNE" HAD "PRIOR NOTICE" AND
"FAILED TO ACT" "FAILED TO WARN" .. "NEGLIGENTLY" ..

**"NEGLIGENT" ..
"VENTILLATION"**

WARDEN "HERNANDEZ" "COWAN" "ARMOSKUS" HAD "PRIOR NOTICE" OF "IN-
ADEQUATE" "VENTILLATION" PRIOR TO "INFECTION" OF 5-1-05 OF ARRIVAL
AT DONOVAN OF 9-16-03.. AS "VENTILLATION" SYSTEM WAS SHOWN HARBORED
"DEADLY BACTERIA" "GERMS" AS "FILTERS" WERE NEVER CLEANED ACCORDING
TO STANDARDS, REPLACED ECT.. AS DEFENDANTS "FAILED TO PROTECT" AS
WELL AS "FAILED TO WARN" OR "CORRECT" .. AS PLAINTIFF RECEIVES "NO"
"OUTSIDE VISITS" SO "CONTAMINATION" BY PLAINTIFF FROM "PEERSONNEL"

1 AND PRISONERS AS WELL AS "OVERCROWDING"..AS "FAILED TO WARN" BY
 2 "RITTER" AND "OPERATION WARDEN HAWTHORNE"..AS "HERNANDEZ" DENIAL
 3 OF REMEDY TO "FIX" PROBLEMS IS "MONETARY"..AS CHOICE OF "DEADLY"
 4 DISEASES OR "MONEY TO REPAIR" "VENTILLATION"...

5 **"NEGLIGENT"...**

6 2.."CAMPAIGN OF HARRASSMENT".."FAILING TO PROTECT"..DUE PROCESS"
 7 "IMPEDING ACCESS TO FILE CLAIMS" AND "PURSUE CLAIMS".."LIBERTY IN-
 8 TEREST"..
 9 IST 4TH 5TH & 14TH..

10 ON 7-26-06 PLAINTIFF WROTE "LTA SIMON" UP FOR "OVER-FAMILY"
 11 ECT..AS "PRIOR ENTRAPMENT" HAS OCCURED AT DONOVAN BY "FEMALE STAFF"
 12 WHEN "PURSUEING ACCESS TO COURT" BY LAWSUIT..ON 9-29-06 "SIMON"
 13 "WILLFULLY" "RETALIATED" BY "FALSE CHARGES" OF "HITTING HER" AS
 14 PLAINTIFF EVENTUALLY "SEGRREGATED" FOR "108" DAYS AFTER FINDING OF
 15 "NOT GUILTY"...

16 THE "108" DAYS FITS CATAGORY OF "SIGNIFICANT ATYPICAL" "HARD-
 17 SHIP" UNDER "SANDIN V CONNER"..INTENTIONALLY"..AS "SIMON" "WILLFULLY"
 18 TRIED TO RETRIEVE AN ALLEGED "PIECE OF PAPER FROM PLAINTIFFS" "BRI-
 19 EFCASE" OF 9-29-06 WHEREAS VIOLATES THE "4TH" AMENDMENT ALSO AS
 20 SHE IS NOT A "PEACE OFFICER" NOR HAS AUTHORITY TO "SEARCH IF TRUE"...

21 PLAINTIFF "RELEASED FROM SEGREGATION" OF 1-18-07..AS "ALL"
 22 "WITNESSES OF IN LIBRARY OF 9-29-07 SUPPRESSED" WHEN REQUESTED "BY
 23 PLAINTIFF..UNDER "TITLE 15 ART." 3268.1" THIS IS "ILLEGAL"...

24 DEFENDANTS "MCMAHAN" "WILLFULLY BY FABRICATION DID "FILE" AND
 25 PURSUE "D.A. REFERRAL" AS WOULD HAVE GOTTEN "GUILTY" "VERDICT" FROM
 26 EMPLOYEES OF DONOVAN IF PLAINTIFF HADNT GOTTEN "WITNESS PRINCE" TO
 27 TESTIFY IN BEHALF..AND BEAT CHARGES OF 9-29-06..

28 AS "WITNESSES" "NEGLIGENTLY" "WITHELD" IN VIOLATION OF "TITLE"
 15 ART." 3268.1".."USE OF FORCE" WHEREAS "ALL WITNESSES" "MUST BE"
 "DOCUMENTED" THIS WAS "WILLFULLY" "NEGLIGENTLY" "DEPRIVED"..AS THE
 WARDENS HAD "PRIOR NOTICES" OF "RETALIATION" "HARRASSMENT" BY GUARDS
 HERE AT DONOVAN AND "FAILED TO PROTECT"..AS "HERNANDEZ" "ARMOSKUS"
 "COWAN" "LT MCMAHAN" HAD "PRIOR NOTICES" AND DID NOTHING..

AAS DEFENDANTS "HERNANDEZ" "ARMOSKUS" "COWAN" AND "MCMAHAN" DID
 "NEGLIGENTLY" "TRANSFER" ANY AND ALL "WITNESSES" AT "SCENE OF CR..
 IME OF 9-29-06 THEREBY "EMPTYING ENTIRE "3" YARD WHERE "WITNESSES"
 WERE HOUSED OF 9-29-06..

ACTS BY DEFENDANTS SERVED NO PENELOGICAL PURPOSE..

"NEGLIGENT"...

3.."DUE PROCESS".."FAILING TO PROTECT"..IMPEDING ACCESS TO COURT"
 "IMPEDING ACCESS TO FILE CLAIM".."OBSTRUCTION OF ACCESS TO COURT"
 "RETALIATION".."LIBERTY INTEREST"..
 IST 5TH 8TH & 14TH..

ON 9-29-06 PLAINTIFF WAS "NEGLIGENTLY" "WILLFULLY" "ASSAULTED"
 BY "BRAVO" AS "LEAD ATTACKED" IN "CONCERT" WITH "MCCURTY" "LIRA"
 "ARMENTA" "FERNANDEZ" "JOHN DOE" # 1-15.. WHEREAS PLAINTIFF WAS
 "NEGLIGENTLY" "WILLFULLY" "INTENTIONALLY" "SEGREGATE " UNTIL FINDINGS
 OF "NOT GUILTY" OF 1-14-07 BY "WITNESS PRINCE"..AS "RETALIATION"...

1 PLAINTIFF WAS "SITTING BEHIND TYPEWRITER" AT TIME OF "ASSAULT"
 2 OF 9--29--06 AT ABOUT 7:00 PM..AS ACTS WERE "WILLFUL""NEGLIGENT" AS
 3 "IMPEDING ACCESS TO COURT"..AS UPON ENTERING LIBRARY OF 9--29--06
 4 DEFENDANT "ARMENTA" STATED THATS THE GUY WHOM FILES THE "LAWSUITS
 5 AS PLAINTIFF WAS "ATTACKED" BY "BRAVO" ECT..AS "SIMON" ABETTED THE
 6 "ATTACK" OF 9--29--06 BY "FALSE CHARGES" TO "CAUSE HARM""NEGLIGENTLY
 7 AS "SHOCKS THE CONSCIOUS JUSTIFYING LIABILITY".. "UNECESSARY FORCE"
 8 WAS USED OF 9--29--06..

9 AS GUARDS UNDER "HERNANDEZ" "ARMOSKUS" "COWAN" "MCMAHAN" HAS "SEG-
 10 REGATED" PLAINTIFF "3 TIMES" ON 8--9--05, 11--27--05 AND 9--29--06 AND
 11 PLAINTIFF "FOUND NOT GUILTY ALL 3 TIMES"..AS PLAINTIFF "NOT RESIS-
 12 TING" OF 9--29--06 AS ACTS WERE "WILLEFUL NEGLIGENT".. "USE OF FORCE"
 13 MANDATES "ALL WITNESSES BE DOCUMENTED" THIS WAS "DENIED"..

14 PA "RAMOS" AS PHYSICIAN "REFUSED" TO "X-RAY" PLAINTIFF "BACK"
 15 AND OTHER "INJURIES" OF 9--29--06 WHEN REQUESTED OF 10--4--06 ECT..AS
 16 "AIDING AND ABETTING" IN THE ACT OF "ASSAULT" OF 9--29--06..

17 DEFENDANTS ACTED AS A "GANG IN CONCERT" OF 9--29--06 AND STATED
 18 "INJURIES" WERE "WILLFUL NEGLIGENCE" TO "CAUSE HARM" AND "THWARF"
 19 "IMPEDE ACCESS TO COURT"..BY "DESTROYING LEGAL DOCUMENTS" IN ONGOING
 20 CASES..AS PLAINTIFF "KICKED" "STOMPED" "SHOVED" AND "DOCUMENTS" IN
 21 FACT WERE "FABRICATED" "WITHELD" WILLFULLY..AS WELL AS "PALENCIA".

22 "NEGLIGENCE"

23 DEFENDANTS "ARMENTA" "MCCURTY" "FERNANDEZ" "LIRA" "BRAVO" DID
 24 "NEGLIGENTLY" "WILLFULLY" "BRAG" ABOUT THE "ASSAULT" "ATTACK" OF 9--
 25 29--06 AS WELL AS "DESTROYING PLAINTIFF LEGAL DOCUMENTS"..WHEREAS
 26 PLAINTIFF KNEW "NOT TO SIGN THE INVENTORY LIST FOR PROPERTY" AS
 27 "DAVIS" TRIED TO CONVINCE PLAINTIFF TO "SIGN" MINUTES AFTER THE
 28 "ASSAULT" OF 9--29--06..WHEREAS "NURSE VALENCIA" WAS "MALICIOUSLY
 GIVEN ARONG INFORMATION OF 9--29--06 AS TO THE "USE OF FORCE"..WHERE
 AS "BRAVO" STATED "USE OF FORCE" USED AND "ARMENTA" STATED "NO USE
 OF FORCE USED" PF 9--29--06..BUT "VIDEO" TAKEN OF PLAINTIFF "STATE-
 MENTAS" OF 9--29--06..AND "ADMITTING" THE "LOSS OF STATED LEGAL"
 "DOCUMENTS" STATED AS "LOST"..AS "DESTROYING" "DOCUMENTS" OF 9--29--
 06 "WITNESSED BY DEFENDANT SIMON"..AS WELL AS "MCCURTY" "ARMENTA"
 "FERNANDEZ" AND "JOHN DOE # 1--15"..AND "PALENCIA"..

AS "STATE AND FEDERAL" "DOCUMENTS" "WILLFULLY DESTROYED" AS
 WERE "PREPARED AND READY FOR COPYING" AND "IRREPARABLE" AS
 "STREET ATTORNEY GAVE INPUT" IN COURT DOCUMENTS ECT..INCLUD
 ING "APPEALS" "DECLARATIONS" "ROUGH DRAFTS" "GRIEVANCES" "NOTES"
 "PHONE NUMBERS" "WORKSHEETS" "AS "IRREPLACEABLE" "IRREPARABLE"..

24 "NEGLIGENCE"

25 PLAINTIFF "PRESCRIPTION EYEGLASSES" "NEGLIGENTLY" "WILLFULLY"
 26 "DESTROYED" OF 9--29--06 AS "SGT ARMENTA" DID "BRAGGED ABOUT THE DE-
 27 STRUCTION OF "EYEGLASSES" ECT..AS OF 3--21--07 PLAINTIFF STILL HAVE
 28 "NOT RECEIVED" "PRESCRIPTION "EYEGLASSES" BUT HAS TO "USE" "10" YEAR
 OLD "EYEGLASSES" WHICH CAUSES "EYE PAINS" "HEADACHES" ECT.. WHERE
 "WILLFULLY" "NEGLIGENTLY" "IMPEDING ACCESS TO COURT" BY "SIGHT" ECT.
 AS WARDEN "HERNANDEZ" "ARMOSKUS" "COWAN" "MCMAHAN" HAD "PRIOR NOTICE"

1 OF PLAINTIFF "DESTROYED EYEGLASSES" OF 9--29--06 AND DID NOTHING..AS
2 PLAINTIFF "EYESIGHT HAS "DETIORATED SINCE 9--29--06" BY "WRONGFUL"
3 "USE OF EYEGLASSES"..

4 AS "OPTOMETRIST" TOOK "2" MONTHS TO TAKE "PRESCRIPTION" OF
5 11--27--06 AND STILL HAVE NOT BEEN FITTED WITH "CORRECT""EYEGLASSES"
6 UNDER "HERNANDEZ" AND "WILLFUL NEGLIGENCE"..

7 AS ALL "NAMED DEFENDANTS HAS "PRIOR NOTICES" OF EVENTS THAT
8 TOOK PLACE OF 9--29--06 AS ONGOING PARCTICE CUSTOM POLICY FOR "ACCESS
9 TO COURT" BY "WILLFUL "NEGLIGENT""IMPEDING ACCESS TO COURT" FOR
10 "FILING""PRUSUEING" "AWSUITS ECTS..

11 "DESTRUCTION OF EYEGLASSES" WITNESSES BY "SIMON"..AS SHE DID
12 NOTHING TO CORRECT FALSE CHARGES..

13 AS "CRHONIC HEADACHES" ARE EFFECT OF "WRONGFUL PRESCRIPTION"
14 "EYEGLASSES" AND DENIAL OF CORRECT ONES..AS "PAIN PILLS" USED FOR
15 "CHRONIC BACK PAINS" ALSO..AS PLAINTIFF "CANNOT"READ"EAT" OR "EXE--
16 RCISE" "EFFECTING DAILY ACTIVITIES" "BACK PAINS" BASED UPON THE
17 "NEGLIGENT""WILLFUL""ATTACK" OF 9--29--06..AS "DR RAMOS" REFUSED TO
18 ALLOW "BACK X RAYS" WHEN REQUESTED FOR "BACK PAINS" SHOWING "IMPA--
19 RTIALITY" TO PLAINTIFF, PRISONERS AND FOR "GUARDS""BRAVO" ECT..
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ACTS BY DEFENDANTS SERVED NO PENELOGICAL PURPOSE..

#10

PATTERN of MISCONDUCT
"CONSPIRACY"

D. CLAIMS*

CLAIM I

The following civil right has been violated:

1. Upon ARRIVAL AT CMC from DONOVAN of 3-27-07 PLAINTIFF WAS subjected to "OVERCROWDING" in HOUSING in CELLS BUILT for ONE as BEDS ARE "OVER and UNDER" for TOTAL 2 PRISONERS with "ONE" PRISONER in "AISLE of EACH CELL" BLOCKS ENTIRE AISLE TOTAL 3 PRISONERS WHEREAS JEOPARDIZING "HEALTH, SAFETY and SECURITY" WILLFULLY VIOLATING of 5-20-08.

thereby CAUSING DEGRADATION of "BASIC HUMAN NEEDS" WHEN "HOT POT" for COOKING NECESSARY food, ect AS "CONFISCATED" UPON ARRIVAL of 3-27-07, BASED UPON ELECTRICAL SHORTAGE AT CMC. ALLOWING only "2" ELECTRICAL APPLIANCES WHEN "3" ALLOWED UNDER LAW. DELIBERATE INTENTIONAL AS "AISLE BED" FORCES PLAINTIFF PRISONERS to "STEP" on AISLE BED to GET to "TOILET" COLD, HOT WATER WHEN in USE. "OVERCROWDED" WILLFULLY.

2. AS UNDER "WARDEN" MARSHALL CMC HAS an "INAPPROPRIATE LAW" LIBRARY "IMPROPER" COURT FORMS MUST SIGN for BRIMS with "IDENTIFICATION" "NO TYPING PAPER OR TYPEWRITERS" or "OCCUPANCY in LIBRARY be "LEGAL USERS" "CONFIDENTIALITY" of "72" HOUR WAIT for "LEGAL COPIES" ect UNDER "MARSHALL" 18 USC 242 & 28 USC 1361 SHOWS WILLFUL CONSPIRACY..

Supporting Facts: Include all facts you consider important. State the facts clearly, in your own words, and without citing legal authority or argument. Be certain you describe, in separately numbered paragraphs, exactly what each DEFENDANT (by name) did to violate your right.

3. PLAINTIFF WAS WILLFULLY "RETRIBUTED" of 6-30-07 WHEN "FILED" "GRIEVANCE" WHEREAS WILLFULLY "CONFISCATED" "DESTROYED" BLACK CIVIL RIGHTS LITERATURE WITHOUT "DUE PROCESS" by C.O. "CASHIO" for ISL IMMEDIATE RISK.

4. further "RETRIBUTION" of 6-6-07 PLAINTIFF WILLFULLY "WRITTEN-UP" by "C. CORNELIUS" for "NOT PARTICIPATING" in "EDUCATION" WHEN GRADE POINT of 10.5 PRESENTED and of RECEIVING "HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA" in 1972.. AS on 4-7-08 HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA APPEARED via "INSTITUTIONAL MAIL" AS DOCUMENTS CONFISCATED FROM C-FILE and GDL ALLEGED AT 4.6 by "CORNELIUS".

5. PLAINTIFF "WILLFULLY" DENIED RIGHT to WORK "PIA" of 3-27-07 by "PAUL KELLY" UNDER COUNSELOR "OSTER" PRODUCTION of 1983 "ARSON CASE" WHEN WORKED PIA AT DONOVAN PRIOR to "TRANSFER" on "NON-DISCIPLINARY" TRANSFER, WHEN DEFENDANTS KNEW of COURT "FEES" ect..

6. PLAINTIFF "HOT POT" WILLFULLY "CONFISCATED" of 3-27-07 UPON ARRIVAL AT CMC, WHOM STATED on GRIEVANCE "APPLIANCE" CAME FROM "WARDEN"..

*If there is more than one claim, describe the additional claim(s) on another attached piece of paper using the same outline.

EST, 5th, 8th & 11th

C. Causes of Action (You may attach additional pages alleging other causes of action and the facts supporting them if necessary.)

Count 1: The following civil right has been violated: "LEGAL MAIL" WITHHOLDING ETC.
(E.g., right to medical care, access to courts,

"DUE PROCESS" RETALIATION

due process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of association, freedom from cruel and unusual punishment, etc.)

ISL 5th, 8th & 14th

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to Count 1. State what happened clearly and in your own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be certain to describe exactly what each defendant, by name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 1.]

1. Plaintiff has been further subjected to a "Pattern of Misconduct" by EMPLOYEES UNDER "MARSHAL" at CMC as well as under "HERNANDEZ" at DONQUIN from 11-1-04 to 5-20-08 Ongoing.. for EXERCISING ACCESS to COURT by "GRIEVANCE" LMSUIT.. by "Retaliation"

Upon ARRIVAL at CMC under "MARSHAL" Plaintiff has been "Retaliated", Negligently, withheld, DESTROYED Paid Subscription of "PRISON LEGAL NEWS" MAGAZINE SINCE 6-1-07 under MAILROOM EMPLOYEE, SCHEDULED "McDANIEL" whom failed to correct when notified

2. further "Pattern of Misconduct" Plaintiff "MAILED" "LEGAL MAIL" willfully withheld, up to "139 DAYS" OVER "80" LETTERS by "J. McNEIL" whom failed to correct when notified.. from 11-1-04 until Transfer of 3-27-07..

3. Counselor "OSHIRO" of CMC has failed to rectify Ongoing Abuse "DENIAL of PIA" PLACED IN EDUCATION when HAVE High School Diploma INSTEAD of PIA.. SINCE 3-27-07 Ongoing.. whom initiated DENIAL from "DIA" on "ARSON" CHARGE 25 YEARS AGO, when WORKING PIA PRIOR to Transfer.. of 3-27-07.. ON 6-14-07 Plaintiff WITHHOLD ACCESS "60 DAYS" on RECALLED WRITE-UP of 8-9-05..

"Pattern of Misconduct" for "IMMEDIATE DANGER"

"EXCEPTION SERIOUS PHYSICAL INJURY"

"EXCEPTION"

D.O.M. # 54100.183 "VOIDS" All "DISPOSITIONS" on "RECALL" "REHEARING" 115 GRIEVANCE of 8-9-05 ON "6-14-07" OVER "2 YEARS" without "REHEARING" GRIEVANCE "RTA-03-5-01607.. by Counselor "OSHIRO" of CMC ongoing "Retaliation" ETC..

Count 3: The following civil right has been violated: "Due Process" Retaliation
"CONSPIRACY" to "REMOVE" of Plaintiff under "319D.3000"
 (E.g., right to medical care, access to courts,
 due process, free speech, freedom of religion, freedom of association, freedom from cruel and unusual punishment,
 etc.)
1st, 5th, 8th & 14th ..

Supporting Facts: [Include all facts you consider important to Count 3. State what happened clearly and in your own words. You need not cite legal authority or argument. Be certain to describe exactly what each defendant, by name, did to violate the right alleged in Count 3.]

1. ON 10-4-06 AFTER "FALSE CHARGES" of 9-29-06 Plaintiff was "RETIATED" by "Sgt Pittman" on "false write-up" with "PROGRAM FAILURE" title 15 ACT 3000 states "2" SERIOUS write-ups in "6 months" must RELINQUISH ALL "PERSONAL PROPERTY" "Radio" "TELEVISION" "fans" ETC. UNDER "319D"

AS UPON "ORDERS" of "Pittman" Plaintiff was "WILLFULLY" "WRITTEN-UP" of 10-4-06 by "GUARD SOLIMOS" on "false writeup" for ALLEGEDLY Refusing to "Double Cell" before "Classification" to "Double Cell" Living UNDER ALLEGE "O.P. #85" AS THIS "Operational PROCEDURE" went INTO EFFECT AFTER "WRITE-UP" ON 12-1-06.. WRITE-UP APPROVED by "Lt SANCHEZ" ..

"WRITE-UP" of 9-29-06 "DISMISSED" ON 1-18-07 ..
 BASED UPON "TESTIMONY" of "WITNESS PRINCE" WHO WAS ALSO "RETIATED" AND "SEGREGATED" DURING Plaintiff SEGREGATION of 9-29-06 "NO CHARGES" FILED ON "MR PRINCE" OR "WRITEUP" AS IN RETALIATION for "TESTIMONY" in this matter. Plaintiff and "PRINCE" RELEASED TOGETHER

AS Plaintiff WILLFULLY "TRANSFERRED" to "INMATE" Prison of CMC- thereby constituting "UNLAW" "HARASSMENT" ONGOING ..

"Operational PROCEDURE" #85 "NOT OAL APPROVED" AS TO "Cellmate of CHOICE" ALLEGED of 10-4-06 is "INVALID" ..

further "Retaliation" UNDER "319D" on 1-18-07 Plaintiff WILLFULLY PLACED in CELL with "E.O.P." "VIOLENT MENTAL PATIENT" to "CAUSE HARM" WHEN "NO EMERGENCY" EXISTED ..

28 USC "1367" & 18 USC "242"

"NEXT PAGE"

D. Previous Lawsuits and Administrative Relief

1. Have you filed other lawsuits in state or federal courts dealing with the same or similar facts involved in this case? ☐ Yes ☒ No.

If your answer is "Yes", describe each suit in the space below. [If more than one, attach additional pages providing the same information as below.]

(a) Parties to the previous lawsuit:

Plaintiffs: _____

Defendants: _____

(b) Name of the court and docket number: _____

(c) Disposition: [For example, was the case dismissed, appealed, or still pending?] _____

(d) Issues raised: _____

(e) Approximate date case was filed: _____

(f) Approximate date of disposition: _____

2. Have you previously sought and exhausted all forms of informal or formal relief from the proper administrative officials regarding the acts alleged in Part C above? [E.g., CDC Inmate/Parolee Appeal Form 602, etc.] ? ☒ Yes ☐ No.

If your answer is "Yes", briefly describe how relief was sought and the results. If your answer is "No", briefly explain why administrative relief was not sought.

3RD LEVEL REVIEW and BOARD of Control
All exhausted.

E. Request for Relief

Plaintiff requests that this Court grant the following relief:

1. An injunction preventing defendant(s): "Retaliation" "Punishment" "Pardons" etc EMPLOYEES CACIL DISCRIMINATION CONSPIRACY for 1st AMENDMENT RIGHTS etc "HARDSHIPS" for Terminations to come of 3-27-07 WORK SCHOOL etc..
2. Damages in the sum of \$ 5,000,000.
3. Punitive damages in the sum of \$ 10,000,000.
4. Other: "JURY TRIAL" "IMMEDIATE APPEAL EXHAUSTION" "DECLARATORY JUDGMENT" "BREACHING CONFIDENTIALITY COPIES" MAIL etc..

F. Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiff demands a trial by ☒ Jury ☐ Court. (Choose one.)

G. Consent to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction

In order to insure the just, speedy and inexpensive determination of Section 1983 Prisoner cases filed in this district, the Court has adopted a case assignment involving direct assignment of these cases to magistrate judges to conduct all proceedings including jury or bench trial and the entry of final judgment on consent of all the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), thus waiving the right to proceed before a district judge. The parties are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences.

The Court encourages parties to utilize this efficient and expeditious program for case resolution due to the trial judge quality of the magistrate judges and to maximize access to the court system in a district where the criminal case loads severely limits the availability of the district judges for trial of civil cases. Consent to a magistrate judge will likely result in an earlier trial date. If you request that a district judge be designated to decide dispositive motions and try your case, a magistrate judge will nevertheless hear and decide all non-dispositive motions and will hear and issue a recommendation to the district judge as to all dispositive motions.

You may consent to have a magistrate judge conduct any and all further proceedings in this case, including trial, and the entry of final judgment by indicating your consent below.

Choose only one of the following:

☐ Plaintiff consents to magistrate judge jurisdiction as set forth above.

OR

☒ Plaintiff requests that a district judge be designated to decide dispositive matters and trial in this case.

Date

6-29-08

Signature of Plaintiff

[Signature]

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)

COUNTY OF San Luis Obispo

CO-08-0749-Btm

[C.C.P. §§ 446, 2015.5; 28 U.S.C. §1746]

I, KHALID QADIR, am a resident of the State of California and am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the above-entitled action. My address is listed below.

On 6-24-08, I served the following documents:

"AMENDED COMPLAINT"

by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with First Class postage thereon fully prepaid in the United States Mail by delivering to prison officials for processing through the Institution's internal legal mail system at San Diego California, addressed as follows::

ATTORNEY GENERAL

300 Spring St
Los Angeles Calif 90013

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in the County of San Diego, California on 6-24-08

KHALID QADIR

P.O. Box 8101

San Luis Obispo CA 93401 8101



Pursuant to the holding of the United States Supreme Court in Houston v. Lack 108 S. Ct. 2379, 487 U.S. 266, 101 L.Ed.2d 245 (1988) and FRAP, Rule 4 (c) inmate legal documents are deemed filed on the date they are delivered to prison staff for processing and mailing via the Institution's internal legal mail procedures.